

leading to an interim and a final decision on Chino Hills' petition for modification of D.09-12-044.

The schedule leading to an interim decision adopts the proposed schedule included with Chino Hills' motion.

Schedule Leading to Interim Decision on Rate Recovery Issues	
Date	Event
November 30, 2012	SCE rate recovery proposal filed and served.
December 14, 2012	Parties' responses to rate recovery proposal filed and served.
December 21, 2012	SCE's reply to parties' responses on rate recovery proposal filed and served.
January 17, 2013	SCE contracting report on service and materials contracts and the status of negotiations filed and served.
January 22, 2013	Parties' responses to contracting report filed and served.
January 24, 2013	SCE's reply to parties' responses on contracting report filed and served.
January 29, 2013	Proposed interim decision filed and served.
February 19, 2013	Comments on proposed interim decision filed and served.
February 25, 2013	Reply comments on proposed interim decision filed and served.
February 28, 2013	First public meeting at which the Commission may consider the proposed interim decision.

The schedule leading to a final decision makes one adjustment to the proposed schedule included with Chino Hills' motion: it allocates four days for EHs (not three) and thus retains parity with the allocation in the July 2, 2012, scoping memo but rejects SCE's suggestion that five days be set aside. The

schedule does not provide for multiple rounds of prepared testimony (which SCE also suggested), since that likely would complicate the record rather than add clarity. The Commission needs to know what other parties think about SCE’s final assessment (as developed per the July 2, 2012, scoping memo and updated in accordance with today’s amended scoping memo), as well as the final assessment of any other nonaligned party. The support or opposition for any not-yet-final assessment will not be particularly helpful to the Commission’s final decision on the merits and could well be burdensome.

The schedule below also adds the provision that any party that desires final oral argument before the Commission under Public Utilities Code § 1701.3(d) should make that known in the first paragraph of its opening brief.

Schedule Leading to Final Decision on Chino Hills’ Petition for Modification of D.09-12-044	
Date	Event
December 3, 2012	SCE serves revised, supplemental prepared testimony (per July 2, 2012 scoping memo).
February 28, 2013	SCE serves amendment to revised, supplemental testimony as necessary to include information from January 17, 2013, contracting report.
March 20, 2013	Chino Hills serves prepared testimony on undergrounding (per July 2, 2012 scoping memo).
April 5, 2013	Other parties serve prepared testimony on undergrounding.

April 12, 2013	SCE serves rebuttal prepared testimony; Chino Hills and other parties serve cross-rebuttal prepared testimony (if any).
April 22, 2013, 9:00 a.m. – 3:30 p.m., continuing day to day as necessary through April 25, 2013	Evidentiary Hearing Commission Court Room State Office Building 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102
May 6, 2013	Concurrent opening briefs filed (must include request for final oral argument, if desired).
May 13, 2013	Concurrent reply briefs filed; submission.
June 11, 2013	Proposed decision filed.
July 1, 2013	Comments on proposed decision.
July 8, 2013	Reply comments on proposed decision.
July 11, 2013	First public meeting at which the Commission may consider the proposed decision.

4. Discovery; Nondisclosure Agreements

The parties are reminded that Rule 11.3., which governs the filing of a motion to compel, requires that disputing parties may not file such a motion without first meeting in good faith in an effort to informally resolve their differences. Parties should negotiate among themselves any necessary nondisclosure agreement or agreements, whether related to discovery or other procedures.

IT IS RULED that:

1. The November 2, 2012 motion by the City of Chino Hills is granted in substantial part as set forth herein and is otherwise denied.
2. The revised scope of the proceeding is as set forth herein.
3. The revised schedule for this proceeding is set forth herein.